

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/608,103		06/30/2003	Ho Jin Cho	40296-0025	40296-0025 1058	
26633	7590	11/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP				KENNEDY,	KENNEDY, JENNIFER M	
1666 K STR	EET,NW			1 2 m 1 D 1 m	2.050.000.000	
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2812			

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/608,103	CHO ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	24					
	Jennifer M. Kennedy	2812	$-\chi$					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			•					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctober 2004.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	D-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e Itent Application (PTO-	152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/2004</u> . 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8 of the specification, line 24, Fig. 4 should be replaced with –Fig. 5—since it is Figure 5 that has a graph illustrating a thickness of a dielectric film according to a deposition thickness of a thin film having a high dielectric constant. Similarly, on page 13 of the specification, line 15, "Fig. 4" should be replaced with ---Fig. 4--.

On page 12, line 11, $Al(CH_4)_3$ should be written as $Al(CH_3)_3$. $Al(CH_4)_3$ does not occur since a carbon atom may only have four bonds. In this case the carbon is bonded to one Al atom and 3 H atoms. Appropriate correction is required.

On page 12, line 16, HF[N(CH₃)₂]₄ should be replaced with Hf[N(CH₃)₂]₄.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2 of the claim the examiner believes there is a typographical error. Al(CH_4)₃ should be written as Al(CH_3)_{3.} Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3 of the claim the examiner believes there is a typographical error. $HF[N(CH_3)_2]_4$ should be replaced with $Hf[N(CH_3)_2]_4$. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,580,111) in view of Halliyal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,645,882).

In re claim 1, Kim et al. disclose the method for forming a capacitor of a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of:

- (a) forming an oxide film (140, 142) on an interlayer insulating film (100) having a storage electrode contact plug (110);
- (b) selectively etching the oxide film to form an opening exposing the top surface of the storage electrode contact plug (see Figure 5, and column 5, lines 56-62);
- (c) forming a conductive layer (160) on the bottom and the inner walls of the opening;
- (d) removing the oxide film to form a storage electrode (see column column 6, lines 15-20);
 - (e) forming a dielectric film (180) on the surface of the storage electrode;
 - (f) annealing the dielectric film (see column 6, lines 39-45); and
 - (g) forming a plate electrode (190) on the dielectric film.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Kim et al. does not disclose the method wherein the dielectric film has a stacked structure of Al-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film and Hf-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film on the surface of the storage electrode. Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein the dielectric film has a stacked structure of Al-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film and Hf-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film on the surface of the storage electrode and annealing the dielectric film (see Figure 8, see entire disclosure, and especially column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35, and column 12, lines 17-40, column 13, lines 30-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the dielectric layer of Kim et al. by the method of Halliyal et al. because as Halliyal et al. teach the method allows for a relatively high-K dielectric to be formed without the formation of the formation of the lower k dielectric silicon oxide (see Halliyal et al. column 2, lines 45-60).

The examiner notes that Halliyal et al. in column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35 disclose the method wherein a five layer dielectric is formed, wherein the first, third and fifth layers are formed of hafnium oxide, and the second and fourth layers are formed of aluminum oxide. Thus, the first and second layers of hafnium oxide/aluminum oxide are considered the Al-rich layer (defined by Applicants as a 1:1 ratio) and the third, fourth, and fifth layers of hafnium oxide/ aluminum oxide/hafnium oxide are considered the Hf-rich layer (defined by applicants as a ratio of hafnium oxide to aluminum oxide as a 2:1 ratio).

Art Unit: 2812

In re claim 4, the combined Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein the step (e) is preformed in an ALD process and the thickness of the Al-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film and the Hf-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film is 5 to 30Å (hafnium oxide layer of 10 Å and aluminum oxide layer of 10 Å) and 10 to 100Å, respectively (two hafnium oxide layers of 10 Å and one aluminum oxide layer of 10 Å, see Halliyal et al. column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35).

In re claim 8, the combined Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein a ratio of HfO_2 : Al_2O_3 in the Al-rich HfO_2 - Al_2O_3 film ranges from (1 cycle: 1 cycle) ~ (9 cycle: 1 cycle). As explained above, Halliyal et al. disclose the first and second layers of hafnium oxide/aluminum oxide are considered the Al-rich layer formed by a 1:1 ratio.

In re claim 9, the combined Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein a ratio of HfO_2 : Al_2O_3 in the Hf-rich HfO_2 - Al_2O_3 film ranges from (9 cycle: 1 cycle) ~ (2 cycle: 1 cycle). As explained above, Halliyal et al. disclose the third, fourth, and fifth layers of hafnium oxide/ aluminum oxide/hafnium oxide are considered the Hf-rich layer formed by a ratio of hafnium oxide to aluminum oxide ain a 2:1 ratio.

In re claim 10, the combined Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein the step (f) is performed at a temperature ranges from 500 to 900°C under oxygen or nitrogen gas atmosphere for 1 to 10 minutes (see Halliyal et al. column 13, lines 30-50 and column 14, lines 40-50).

In re claim 11, the combined Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose and the method wherein the step (f) is performed in a furnace at a 500 to 900°C under oxygen,

Art Unit: 2812

nitrogen or N₂O gas atmosphere, but does not explicitly disclose the time for heating. The examiner notes that Applicant does not teach that the annealing time solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Therefore, the annealing time range lacks criticality in the claimed invention and does not produce unexpected or novel results. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to anneal the dielectric for 10 to 60 minutes, since the invention would perform equally well when the annealing occurs for a few minutes or 10 minutes and since Halliyal et al. teaches the time for annealing can be selectively controlled (see column 14, lines 40-50), and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

In re claim 12, the combined Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein the step (g) is a CVD process for forming the plate electrode using a material selected from the group consisting of TaN, TiN, WN, W, Pt, Ru, Ir, doped polysilicon, and combinations thereof (see Kim et al. column 6, lines 45-50).

In re claim 13, Kim et al. disclose the method for forming a capacitor of a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of:

(a) forming an oxide film (140, 142) on an interlayer insulating film (100) having a storage electrode contact plug (110);

(b) selectively etching the oxide film to form an opening exposing the top surface of the storage electrode contact plug (see Figure 5, and column 5, lines 56-62);

- (c) forming a conductive layer (160) on the bottom and the inner walls of the opening;
- (d) removing the oxide film to form a storage electrode (see column column 6, lines 15-20);
 - (e) forming a dielectric film (180) on the surface of the storage electrode;
 - (f) annealing the dielectric film (see column 6, lines 39-45); and
 - (g) forming a plate electrode (190) on the dielectric film.

Kim et al. does not disclose the method wherein the dielectric film is a Al-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film on the surface of the storage electrode. Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein the dielectric film is a Al-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film (see Figure 8, see entire disclosure, and especially column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35, and column 12, lines 17-40, column 13, lines 30-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the dielectric layer of Kim et al. by the method of Halliyal et al. because as Halliyal et al. teach the method allows for a relatively high-K dielectric to be formed without the formation of the formation of the lower k dielectric silicon oxide (see Halliyal et al. column 2, lines 45-60).

The examiner notes that Halliyal et al. in column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35 disclose the method wherein a five layer dielectric is formed, wherein the first,

Art Unit: 2812

third and fifth layers are formed of hafnium oxide, and the second and fourth layers are formed of aluminum oxide. Thus, the first and second layers of hafnium oxide/aluminum oxide are considered the Al-rich layer (defined by Applicants as a 1:1 ratio).

In re claim 14, Kim et al. disclose the method for forming a capacitor of a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of:

- (a) forming an oxide film (140, 142) on an interlayer insulating film (100) having a storage electrode contact plug (110);
- (b) selectively etching the oxide film to form an opening exposing the top surface of the storage electrode contact plug (see Figure 5, and column 5, lines 56-62);
- (c) forming a conductive layer (160) on the bottom and the inner walls of the opening;
- (d) removing the oxide film to form a storage electrode (see column column 6, lines 15-20);
 - (e) forming a dielectric film (180) on the surface of the storage electrode;
 - (f) annealing the dielectric film (see column 6, lines 39-45); and
 - (g) forming a plate electrode (190) on the dielectric film.

Kim et al. does not disclose the method wherein the dielectric film has a stacked structure of a Al₂O₃ film and Hf-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film on the surface of the storage electrode. Halliyal et al. disclose the method wherein the dielectric film has a stacked structure of a Al₂O₃ film and Hf-rich HfO₂-Al₂O₃ film on the surface of the storage

Art Unit: 2812

electrode and annealing the dielectric film (see Figure 8, see entire disclosure, and especially column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35, and column 12, lines 17-40, column 13, lines 30-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the dielectric layer of Kim et al. by the method of Halliyal et al. because as Halliyal et al. teach the method allows for a relatively high-K dielectric to be formed without the formation of the formation of the lower k dielectric silicon oxide (see Halliyal et al. column 2, lines 45-60).

The examiner notes that Halliyal et al. in column 10, line 58 through column 11 line 35 disclose the method wherein a five layer dielectric is formed, wherein the first, third and fifth layers are formed of hafnium oxide, and the second and fourth layers are formed of aluminum oxide. Thus the first layer of aluminum oxide is considered the Al₂O₃ film, and the third, fourth, and fifth layers of hafnium oxide/ aluminum oxide/hafnium oxide are considered the Hf-rich layer (defined by applicants as a ratio of hafnium oxide to aluminum oxide as a 2:1 ratio).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,580,111; referred to as Kim '111) and Halliyal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,645,882) in view of Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,165,841; referred to as Kim '841).

Kim '111 and Halliyal et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above, but do not disclose the method further comprising the step of cleaning the surface of the storage electrode with a cleaning solution of $NH_4OH : H_2O_2 : H_2= 1 : (4 \sim 5) : (20 \sim 50)$

Art Unit: 2812

after the step (d) to form an oxide film. Kim '841 disclose the method comprising the step of cleaning the surface of the storage electrode with a cleaning solution of NH_4OH : $H_2O_2: H_2=1: (4 \sim 5): (20 \sim 50)$ prior to forming a dielectric in order to form an oxide film (see column 6, lines 12-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the cleaning method of Kim '841 in the combined method of Kim '111 and Halliyal et al. in order to remove particulate metal impurities and organic contaminants on the wafer.

Neither, Kim '111, Halliyal et al., nor Kim '841 disclose the thickness of the oxide formed. The examiner notes that Applicant does not teach that the oxide thickness solve any stated problem or are for any particular purpose. Therefore, the oxide thickness lacks criticality in the claimed invention and does not produce unexpected or novel results. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the oxide of 3 to 5 Å, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,580,111) and Halliyal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,645,882) in view of Kher et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. 2003/0232501).

Art Unit: 2812

Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above, but do not disclose the method further comprising the step of cleaning the surface of the storage electrode with an HF or BOE solution and performing an RTO process after the step(d) to form an oxide film having a thickness ranging from 8 to 15Å. Kher et al. disclose the method comprising the step of cleaning the surface of the storage electrode with an HF or BOE solution and performing an RTO process prior to forming the capacitor dielectric to form an oxide film (see paragraph [0035],[0069-0071] and [0020]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to clean the surface of the silicon with an HF or BOE solution and performing an RTO process prior to forming the dielectric, because as Kher et al. teaches this method allows for a lower surface roughness (see [0006]) which allows for conformal layers in high aspect ratio features in high density devices.

Neither, Kim et al., Halliyal et al., nor Kher et al. disclose the thickness of the oxide formed. The examiner notes that Applicant does not teach that the oxide thickness solve any stated problem or are for any particular purpose. Therefore, the oxide thickness lacks criticality in the claimed invention and does not produce unexpected or novel results. Kher et al. teaches that the rapid thermal oxidation can occur for anywhere from 5 to 10 seconds and at a temperature of 850 to 900 °C. Since the oxide thickness is a function of temperature and time of oxidation, Kher et al. teach one could selectively choose the conditions to form the optimal oxide thickness. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the oxide of 8 to 15 Å, since it has been held that where the general

Art Unit: 2812

conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller,* 105 USPQ 233, MPEP 2144.05 II A.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,580,111) and Halliyal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,645,882) in view of Londergan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,720,259).

Kim et al. and Halliyal et al. disclose the method as claimed and rejected above, but do not disclose the method wherein the ALD process or the CVD process performed at a temperature of 150 to 600°C and step (e) is performed in an ALD process using Al(CH₄)₃ as an Al source, HfCl₄ as an Hf source and H₂O, O₃, O₂ and N₂O as an O source one cycle for Al₂O₃ ALD process comprising Al pulse, N₂ purge, O pulse and N₂ purge, and one cycle of HfO₂ of the ALD process comprising Hf pulse, N2 purge, O pulse and N₂ purge processes. Londergan et al. disclose the method wherein the ALD process or the CVD process performed at a temperature of 150 to 600°C (see column 8, lines 5- 15) and utilizing an ALD process using Al(CH₄)₃ as an Al source. HfCl₄ as an Hf source and H₂O, O₃, O₂ and N₂O as an O source one cycle for Al₂O₃ ALD process comprising Al pulse, N2 purge, O pulse and N2 purge, and one cycle of HfO₂ of the ALD process comprising Hf pulse, N2 purge, O pulse and N₂ purge processes (see 1, lines 30-45, column 2, lines 5-17, column 3, line 53 through column 4. line 13, and column 5, line 40-46, column 8, lines 5 through 42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the

Art Unit: 2812

precursors of Londergan et al. because they are conditions and know precursors that allow for the formation of a high-k dielectric and an increase in capacitance.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,580,111) and Halliyal et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,645,882) in view of Conley Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,686,212).

Kim et al. and Halliyal et al disclose the method as claimed and rejected above, but do not disclose the method wherein the step (e) is an ALD process using a Hf source selected from the group consisting of HfCl₄, Hf[N(C₂H₅)₂]₄, Hf[N(CH₃)₂]₄, Hf[N(CH₃)(C₂H₅)]₄, Hf[OC(CH₃)₃]₄, Hf(NO₃)₃, and combinations thereof, and an O source selected from the group consisting of H₂O, O₂, N₂O, O₃, and combinations thereof, one cycle of HfO₂ of the ALD process comprising Hf pulse, N₂ purge, O pulse and N₂ purge in. Conley Jr. et al. disclose the method of forming an hafnium oxide layer by an ALD process using a Hf source selected from the group consisting of HfCl4, $Hf[N(C_2H_5)_2]_4$, $Hf[N(CH_3)_2]_4$, $Hf[N(CH_3)(C_2H_5)]_4$, $Hf[OC(CH_3)_3]_4$, $Hf(NO_3)_3$, and combinations thereof, and an O source selected from the group consisting of H₂O, O₂, N₂O, O₃, and combinations thereof, one cycle of HfO₂ of the ALD process comprising Hf pulse, N₂ purge, O pulse and N₂ purge in (see column 3, lines 20-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the precursors of Conley Jr. et al. because they allow for the formation of a smooth high-k dielectric.

Art Unit: 2812

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wolf et al. (Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era, Volume 1-Process Technology, Second Edition, 128-130) disclose that a silicon oxide is formed on the surface of the substrate during SC-1 cleaning.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Kennedy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1672. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2812